EXHIBIT F

21 MC 102 In Re: World Trade Center Lower Manhattan Disaster Site Litigation

Summary of Information From Short Form "Check Off" Complaints

| < | | ٥ | c | ш | ш | g | Ξ |
|----|---------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|--|----------------------|---|---|
| 4 | | , | | | | MALL City Other than "ATT City" or | Allocate Morb at |
| Š | No. Plaintiff | Docket No. | Docket No. Alleges Work | Work for Non-"Tully" Companies | Job I Itle | Work Sites Other than WIC Site as Defined in CMO 3 | DB Building |
| | | | Named Sub- | | | | other than from |
| | | | Contractors | I | | | 700000000000000000000000000000000000000 |
| _ | Acosta, Byron | 07cv1552 | S O | invironmental Services, | Handler | | Tes |
| 2 | Adriano, Luis | 07cv4445 | No | ETS Contracting and PAL | Asbestos handler | 1 Liberty Plaza; Post Office | Yes |
| က | Agudelo, Gladys 07cv4446 | 07cv4446 | o _Z | Comprehensive Environmental Asbestos | Asbestos | 1 Federal Plaza, 100 Church Street, 70 | No |
|) | | | | • | cleaner | Pine Street, 75 Park Place, High School of Economics and Finance | : |
| 4 | Ali. Enrique | 07cv1554 | No | PAL Environmental Safety | Supervisor | 1 Liberty Plaza | Yes |
| ြက | Allivar, Raul | 1 | o _N | PAL Environmental Safety | Handler | 150 Broadway; 1 Liberty Plaza; Post Office (90 Church Street) | Yes |
| ၂ဖ | Alvarez, Maria | 05cv10135 No | No | Kiss Construction and PAL | Handler | 90 Trinity Place; High School of | Yes |
| | | | | | ; | Economics & Finance, Post Office, Stuyvesant High School | |
| 7 | Alvarracin, Jose 07cv1556 | 07cv1556 | N _O | Pinnacle Environment | Asbestos handler | Post Office | Yes |
| œ | Arsenault, Kirk | 04cv5338 | Yes: Manfort Brothers | None | Burner | | Yes |
| ග | Ascencio, Ivan | 07cv1460 | No | ETS Contracting | Laborer- asbestos | High School of Economics & Finance | Yes |
| 10 | Atiencia, | 07cv1562 | No | LVI Environmental Services, Inc. | Handler | Stuyvesant High School | Yes |
| 7 | Bailon, Peter | 07cv5336 | S O | Pinnacle Environmental Corp. | Supervisor | Post Office | Yes |
| 12 | Barahona, Jose | 07cv5550 | 0 Z | Pinnacle Environmental Corp. and Trade Winds Environmental Restoration | Handler | 100 Church Street | Yes |
| 13 | Betancourt, Hector | 07cv4453 | No. | ETS Contracting, Inc. and PAL Environmental Safety | Handler | 1 Liberty Plaza | Yes |
| 4 | Burgos, Leopoldo | 07cv1473 | NO O | PAL Environmental Safety | Handler | | Yes |

21 MC 102 In Re: World Trade Center Lower Manhattan Disaster Site Litigation

Summary of Information From Short Form "Check Off" Complaints

| 4 | α | C | G | Ш | L | ၅ | Ŧ |
|----|---------------------|------------|---|--|---------------------|--|---|
| 2 | Plaintiff | Docket No. | Docket No. Alleges Work for Tully or Named Sub- | Work for Non-"Tully" Companies | Job Title | Work Sites Other than "WTC Site" as Defined in CMO 3 | Alleges Work at DB Building other than from |
| 15 | Caguana, | 06cv11968 | 06cv11968 Yes: EROC | None | Laborer | | Yes |
| 16 | Calero, Ivan | 07cv1575 | ON | PAL Environmental Safety | Shop steward | | Yes |
| 17 | Calle, Wilson | 07cv1578 | No No | Pinnacle Environment | Asbestos handler | Post Office | Yes |
| 18 | Campoverde, | 07cv5280 | No | PAL Environmental Safety | Hander | Post Office | Yes |
| 19 | Campozano, | 07cv4459 | No No | Pinnacle Environment | Asbestos handler | | Yes |
| | Cardenas, | 07cv1580 | N _O | PAL Environmental Safety | Handler | Millenium Hilton Hotel | Yes |
| 1 | Castillo Silvia | 05cv1718 | 2 | Branch | Handler | | Yes |
| 22 | Chuva, Nancy | 07cv1589 | ON. | Trio Asbestos | Asbestos handler | Post Office | Yes |
| | Cintron, Yolanda | 06cv5631 | No | CES | Asbestos handler | 160 Water Street, Stuyvesant High School Yes | Yes |
| 24 | Cortez, Jorge E. | . 07cv5394 | S | Galt John Corp. | Handler | | Yes |
| 25 | Cortijo, Lidia | 07cv317 | <u>Q</u> | LVI Environmental Services, Inc., PAL Environmental Safety and Pinnacle Environmental Corp. | None | 101 Barclay Street; 4 Albany Street; Hudson View East | Yes |
| 1 | Criollo, Nancy | 07cv4462 | No | PAL Environmental Safety | Cleaner | 1 Liberty Plaza | Yes |
| 27 | Dota, Clara | 07cv1602 | 0 Z | Asbestos Lead and Hazardous Asbestos Materials Laborers handler | Asbestos handler | Post Office; Equitable Building | Yes |
| 28 | Drake, Jesse | 07cv5353 | No | Nastasi Eurotech | Carpenter | | Yes |

21 MC 102 In Re: World Trade Center Lower Manhattan Disaster Site Litigation

Summary of Information From Short Form "Check Off" Complaints

| [| | (| | u | ц | ď | I |
|----------------|----------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| ٩ | מ | اد | اد | | | AND THE CALL OF THE WATER CITY OF | Allogo Mork of |
| No. | No. Plaintiff | Docket No. | Docket No. Alleges Work for Tully or | Work for Non-"Tully" Companies | Job Litle | Work Sites Other than "WIC Site as Defined in CMO 3 | Alleges Woln at DB Building |
| | | | Named Sub- Contractors | | | | other than from 1/8/02 to 7/1/02 |
| 29 | Drozdz, Stanislaw | 06cv14620 | No | Pinnacle, Trade Winds, Trio Asbestos | Asbestos handler | 101 Barclay Street; 111 Wall Street; 140 Broadway; 70 Pine Street; Gillespi Building; Hudson View East; Parc Place; Stuyvesant High School | Yes |
| 30 | Duarte, Inerva | 07cv1603 | No No | Safeway Construction, Inc. and None Safeway Environmental Corp. | None | | Yes |
| 31 | Dutan, Elvia | 07cv1606 | O _N | Pinnacle Environment | Office cleaner/ handler | Post Office | Yes |
| 32 | Encalada, Jorge | 07cv1607 | N _O | PAL Environmental Safety and Pinnacle Environmental Safety | Handler | | Yes |
| c. | Franco Luis | 07cv4466 | No. | PAL Environmental Safety | Handler | | Not Provided |
| 8 8 | Frelas, Janina | 07cv1612 | 0 | ETS Contracting, Inc. and Safeway Environmental Corp. | Asbestos handler and handler | 233 Broadway | Yes |
| 35 | Gallardo, Norbeto | 07cv5290 | No | Pinnacle Environmental Corp. | Handler | | No V |
| 36 | Garcia, Viviana | 07cv1617 | N _O | Comprehensive Environmental | Asbestos handler | Jacob K. Javits Federal Building | Yes |
| 37 | Gaspar, Peter | 05cv10739 | 00 | ETS Contracting, PAL, Pinnacle | Supervisor and asbestos | Post Office | Yes |
| 88 | Gawin, Leonard | 07cv1619 | O. | PAL Environmental Safety, Safeway Environmental Corp., and Trade Winds Environmental Restoration | | 101 Barclay Street, 170 Broadway, 76 Varick Street, 95 Maiden Lane | ×es. |
| 39 | Giamo, Samuel T. | 06cv11676 | NO NO | FDNY | Firefighter | | Yes |

21 MC 102 In Re: World Trade Center Lower Manhattan Disaster Site Litigation

Summary of Information From Short Form "Check Off" Complaints

| ĺ | ر | _ | ш | ш | 9 | I |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| | > | 3 | | | AND THE OWNER OF THE WATER CITY OF | Allogoe Work of |
| | Docket No. | Docket No. Alleges Work for Tully or Named Sub- | Work for Non-"Tully" Companies | Job - IIIe | Work Sites Other than Wild Site as Defined in CMO 3 | DB Building other than from |
| Giraldo, Hitien | 07cv5554 | Contractors No | PAL Environmental Safety | Handler | | Yes |
| E. Gualpa, Rosa | 07cv4472 | No. | Pinnacle Environmental Corp. | Asbestos | 170 Broadway | Yes |
| | 07cv5556 | ON | Branch | Asbestos worker | | Yes |
| idia | Hernando, Nidia 07cv4473 | No. | Pinnacle Environment | Handler asbestos | | Yes |
| Hurtado, Julio | 07cv5295 | OZ | Kiss Construction, Inc., LBI- ABAS, Locat 78, PAL Environmental Safety, Pinnacle Environmental Corp. | | 63 Wall Street; 90 Chambers Street; P.S. 234 Independence School; West Street Building | Yes |
| Manual | 07cv1628 | CZ | Local 78 | Cleaner | Post Office | Yes |
| Idrovo, Edgar | 07cv1627 | No No | Pinnacle Environment | Asbestos cleaner | | Yes |
| | 07cv1629 | S O N | LVI Environmental Services, inc. | Handler | Post Office; West Street Building | Yes |
| Jablonski, Jozef | 07cv1630 | ON | PAL Environmental Safety and Trade Winds Environmenal Restoration | Asbestos handler | Post Office | Yes |
| onas | Jaramillo, Jonas 06cv14746 No | S. | Branch, PAL Environmental Asbestt Safety, Pinnacle Environmental handler Corp., Trade Winds Environmental Rest. | Asbestos handler | 100 Church Street | Yes |
| Karus, Marian | 07cv1635 | O Z | LVI Environmental Services, Inc., PAL Environmental Safety, and Pinnacle Environmental Corp. | Asbestos handler and handler | P.S. 89 | Yes |

21 MC 102 In Re: World Trade Center Lower Manhattan Disaster Site Litigation

Summary of Information From Short Form "Check Off" Complaints

| E F | E F | E F | E F F Ith." Ich Title | | Work Sit | G Other than "WTC Site" as | Alleges Work at |
|---|---|--|--|--------------------|----------|---|--|
| | Work for Non-"Tully" Job Title Companies | Work for Non-"Tully" Job Title Companies | or Non-"Tully" Job Litte | | ≧å | Work Sites Other tilan Wild Site as Defined in CMO 3 | DB Building other than from 1/8/02 to 7/1/02 |
| PAL Environmental Safety, and Asbestos Trade Winds Environmental handler Rest. | No PAL Environmental Safety, and Asbestos Trade Winds Environmental handler Rest. | PAL Environmental Safety, and Asbestos Trade Winds Environmental handler Rest. | Environmental Safety, and Asbestos Winds Environmental handler | | 5 6 2 | 100 Church Street; 101 Barclay Street; 160 Water Street; 1 Liberty Plaza; Post Office; P.S. 234 Independence School | Yes |
| Cleaner | No PAL Environmental Cleaner | PAL Environmental Cleaner | Cleaner | † <u>-</u> | - 0 | 150 Broadway; 1 Liberty Plaza; Post Office | Yes |
| Lasica, Andrzej 07cv4480 No PAL Environmental Safety, Asbestos Pinnacle Environmental Corp. handler | No PAL Environmental Safety, Asbestos Pinnacle Environmental Corp. handler | PAL Environmental Safety, Asbestos Pinnacle Environmental Corp. handler | Asbestos orp. handler | ဖွ | | High School of Economics & Finance; Hudson View East; Sytuvesant High School | Yes |
| Supervisor CES Supervisor | No CES | CES | | Supervisor | | | Yes |
| No PAR Environmental Corp., and Pinnacle Environmental Corp. | No PAR Environmental Corp., and Pinnacle Environmental Corp. | PAR Environmental Corp., and Pinnacle Environmental Corp. | PAR Environmental Corp., and Not Pinnacle Environmental Corp. Provided | Not Provided | ! | 90 Trinity Place; High School of Economics & Finance; Post Office; P.S. 234 Independence School | 0 |
| Leon, Cesar 07cv63 No Safeway Environmental Corp. Handler | No Safeway Environmental Corp. | Safeway Environmental Corp. | | Handler | | | Yes |
| Loia. Wilmo 07cv4482 No Pinnacle Environment Handler | No Pinnacle Environment | Pinnacle Environment | T 1 | Handler | ١, | | Yes |
| 07cv5366 | No Pinnacle Environmenal Corp. | Pinnacle Environmenal Corp. | | Handler | | 55 Water Street; Post Office | Yes |
| Medina, Rosa 07cv4491 No TBP To be supplied | No | ТВР | | To be supplied | _ | Post Office | Yes |
| Melendez, Maria 07cv5397 No PAL Environmental Safety Handler | No PAL Environmental Safety | PAL Environmental Safety | | Handler | İ | | Yes |
| Mendez, Juan 07cv1664 No Local 78 Asbestos handler | No Local 78 | Local 78 | 78 | Asbesto handler | ဟူ | One Liberty Plaza | Yes |
| Merchan, Carlos 07cv1665 No Pinnacle Environment Corp. Asbestos handler | No Pinnacle Environment Corp. | Pinnacle Environment Corp. | de Environment Corp. | Asbesto handler | က္က | | Yes |
| Mora, Eugenio 06cv13168 No PAL Environmental Safety Cleaner | No PAL Environmental Safety | PAL Environmental Safety | nvironmental Safety | Cleaner | | 100 Church Street; Post Office; Stuyvesant High School | Yes |
| Morales, Tatiana 07cv5370 No Pinnacle Environment Corp. Asbestos handler | No Pinnacle Environment Corp. | Pinnacle Environment Corp. | | Asbesto handler | တ္ထ | Post Office | Yes |

21 MC 102 In Re: World Trade Center Lower Manhattan Disaster Site Litigation

Summary of Information From Short Form "Check Off" Complaints

| ١ | | | | 1 | L | | = |
|----------|--------------------------|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| ⋖ | മ | ပ | ٥ | | - | פ | |
| <u>ė</u> | Plaintiff | Docket No. | Docket No. Alleges Work | Work for Non-"Tully" | Job Title | Work Sites Other than "WTC Site" as Defined in CMO 3 | Alleges Work at DB Building |
| | | | Named Sub- | | | | other than from 1/8/02 to 7/1/02 |
| 65 | Moreno, Sandra | 07cv1670 | N _O | LVI Environmental Services, | Not Provided | | Yes |
| 99 | Naranjo, Luis | 05cv10738 | No | nental | Cleaner | Hudson View East; 1 Liberty Plaza; Post Office: Stuyvesant High School | Yes |
| | | | | ety, Pinnacle | cleaner/ asbestos handler | | |
| 29 | Naranjo, Walter | 07cv4496 | S. | Pinnacle Environment | Cleaner | 25 Broadway; 90 Trinity Place, High | Yes |
| | | | | | | School of Economics and Finance, Hudson View East; P.S. 234 | |
| | | , | | | | Independence School; Stuyvesant High School | |
| 8 | Negrete Oscar | 07cv5371 | No. | PAL Environmental Safety | Handler | 233 Broadway | Yes |
| ် မေ | + | 07cv5374 | No | Volunteer | Volunteer | | To be provided |
| 70 | Palaguachi, | 07cv1680 | No | Branch | Asbestos | | Yes |
| | Rosa | | | | cleaner | | |
| 7 | Reynolds, David 07cv3446 | 07cv3446 | <u>S</u> | Nastasi Eurotech | Carpenter | 10 Liberty Street; American Stock Exchange; West Street Building | Yes |
| 72 | Rhoden, Marcel | 07cv5311 | No No | Site Safety, LLC | Safety | | Yes |
| 73 | Riera, Maximo | 07cv1519 | No No | Comprehensive Environmental Handler | Handler | | Yes |
| 47 | Rodas, Patricio | 07cv1694 | N _O | Pinnacle Environmental Corp. | Handler | Post Office | Yes |
| 75 | Rojas, Jaime | 07cv4511 | No No | Pinnacle Environmental Corp. | Asbestos handler | 100 Church Street; Millenium Hilton Hotel; Yes Post Office | Yes |
| | | | | | | | |

In Re. World Trade Center Lower Manhattan Disaster Site Litigation 21 MC 102

Summary of Information From Short Form "Check Off" Complaints

| ٩ | cc | ပ | Q | Ш | ш | 9 | Ŧ |
|------|--------------------------|------------|----------------------------|--|---------------------|--|---|
| نہ ا | Plaintiff | Docket No. | Work or Sub- tors | Work for Non-"Tully" Companies | Job Title | Work Sites Other than "WTC Site" as Defined in CMO 3 | Alleges Work at DB Building other than from 1/8/02 to 7/1/02 |
| 9/ | Romaniuk, Mieczyslaw | 07cv5316 | o Z | LVI Environmental Services, Inc., PAL Environmental Safety, Pinnacle Environmental Corp., Safeway | Asbestos handler | 1 Federal Plaza; 1 Wall Street; 100 Church Street; High School of Economics and Finance; Hudson View East | Yes |
| 77 | Salazar, Victor | 07cv4512 | No | Pinnacle Environmental Corp. | Handler | Hudson View East | Yes |
| 78 | Sanchez, Rosa | 06cv12488 | No. | ТВР | ТВР | | Yes |
| 79 | Sanchez, Edilberto | | No O | PAL Environmental Safety | Asbestos handler | | Yes |
| 8 | Santamaria, Emanuel | 07cv1528 | Q. | PAL Environmental Safety | Handler | | Yes |
| 81 | Sarmiento, Galo 07cv1529 | 07cv1529 | o _N | PAL Environmental Safety | Handler | 20 Broad Street; 55 Water Street, West Street Building | Yes |
| 82 | Sarmiento, Edwin | 07cv4515 | 0 2 | Kiss Construction, Inc. | Asbestos handler | 160 Water Street 24 Broadway; 63 Wall Street; 90 Trinity Place; High School of Economics and Finance (100 Trinity Place); Hudson View East; 1 Liberty Plaza; Post Office; Stuyvesant High School; P.S. 234 Independence School | , , |
| 83 | Serrano, Theresa | 05cv8937 | No | PAL Environmental Safety | Handler | | Yes |
| 28 | Siguencia, Raul | 07cv1533 | ON | Pinnacle Enviromenal Corp. | Handler | | Yes |
| 85 | Suarez, Felipe | 07cv1707 | No | Pinnacle Enviromenal Corp. | Asbestos handler | | Yes |
| 98 | Sumba, Samuel | 07cv1710 | ON. | Pinnacle Enviromenal Corp. | Handler | 63 Wall Street, Hudson View East, Stuyvesant High School, The Equitable Building | Yes |
| 87 | Tamayo, Pedro | 07cv1538 | No | Pinnacle Enviromenal Corp. | Cleaner | 101 Barclay Street | Yes |

21 MC 102 In Re: World Trade Center Lower Manhattan Disaster Site Litigation

Summary of Information From Short Form "Check Off" Complaints

| No. Plaintiff Docket No. 88 Teham, Nicholas 07cv5564 89 Tenezaca, Julia 07cv1714 90 Teran, Cesareo 07cv5389 91 Thorpe, Jeanne 07cv1715 92 Valencia, Carlos 07cv5324 A. A. 93 Vasquez, Kattia 07cv1722 95 Vega, Severo 07cv4521 96 Villarroel, Gov1722 96 Villarruel, Kleber 07cv1546 8egundo 07cv1546 O7cv1546 97 Villarruel, Kleber 06cv13703 98 Walsh, Kevin 06cv12608 99 Waniurski, 07cv4524 Robert Robert | Docket No. Alleges Work for Tully or Named Sub-Contractors O7cv5564 No 07cv1714 No 07cv5389 No 07cv1715 No | Work for Non-"Tully" Companies Regional Scaffolding Pinnacle Environmental Comprehensive Environmental PAL Environmental Safety Maxons Restoration, Inc. | Job Title | Work Sites Other than "WTC Site" as Defined in CMO 3 | Alleges Work at |
|---|--|--|---------------------|--|--|
| Teham, Nicholas Tenezaca, Julia Teran, Cesareo Thorpe, Jeanne Valencia, Carlos A. Vasquez, Rommel Vasquez, Robert Robert | | Regional Scaffolding Pinnacle Enviromenal Corp. Comprehensive Environmental PAL Environmental Safety Maxons Restoration Inc. | 20,000 | | DB Building other than from 1/8/02 to 7/1/02 |
| Tenezaca, Julia Teran, Cesareo Thorpe, Jeanne Valencia, Carlos A. Vasquez, Rommel Vazquez, Rommel Vaga, Severo Villarroel, Segundo Villarruel, Kleber Walsh, Kevin Waniurski, Robert | | Pinnacle Enviromenal Corp. Comprehensive Environmental PAL Environmental Safety Maxons Restoration, Inc. | Carpenter | | Yes |
| Teran, Cesareo Thorpe, Jeanne Valencia, Carlos A. Vasquez, Rommel Vasquez, Rommel Vasquez, Rommel Vaga, Severo Villarroel, Segundo Villarruel, Kleber Walsh, Kevin Waniurski, Robert | | Comprehensive Environmental PAL Environmental Safety Maxons Restoration Inc. | Handler | | Yes |
| Thorpe, Jeanne Valencia, Carlos A. Vasquez, Rommel Vazquez, Kattia Vega, Severo Villarroel, Segundo Villarruel, Kleber Walsh, Kevin Waniurski, Robert | | | Handler | | Yes |
| Valencia, Carlos A. Vasquez, Rommel Vazquez, Kattia Vega, Severo Villarroel, Segundo Villarruel, Kleber Walsh, Kevin Waniurski, | | Maxons Restoration Inc. | Asbestos | Trinity Church | Yes |
| Vasquez, Rommel Vazquez, Kattia Vega, Severo Villarroel, Segundo Villarruel, Kleber Walsh, Kevin Waniurski, Robert | ₅ 324 No | | Cleaner | 100 Church Street; 100 Gateway Plaza; 101 Barclay Street; 200 Gateway Plaza; 400 Gateway Plaza | Yes |
| Vazquez, Kattia Vega, Severo Villarroel, Segundo Villarruel, Kleber Walsh, Kevin Waniurski, | 1543 No | PAL Environmental Safety | Handler | Stuyvesant High School | Yes |
| Vega, Severo Villarroel, Segundo Villarruel, Kleber Walsh, Kevin Waniurski, Robert | 1722 No | PAL Environmental Safety | Asbestos handler | Post Office | Yes |
| Villarroel, Segundo Villarruel, Kleber Walsh, Kevin Waniurski, Robert | 4521 No | PAL Environmental Safety | Handler | 1 Liberty Plaza | Yes |
| Villarruel, Kleber Walsh, Kevin Waniurski, Robert | | PAL Environmental Safety | Handler | Stuyvesant High School | Yes |
| Walsh, Kevin Waniurski, Robert | 13703 No | PAL Environmental Safety | Foreman | 1 Liberty Plaza | Yes |
| Waniurski, Robert | 06cv12608 No | Nastasi Eurotech | Carpenter | | Yes |
| | 4524 No | LVI Environmental Services, Inc., PAL Environmental Safety, and Pinnacle Environmental Com | Asbestos handler | 30 Rockefeller Plaza | Yes |
| 100 Watson, Ancil 07cv5391 | 75391 Yes: Tulley's [sic] Construction Company | None | Laborer | | Yes |
| 101 Wragg, Clarence 06cv8125 | † | Trade Winds Environmental Restoration | Asbestos worker | | Yes |

EXHIBIT G

```
76FVWORC.TXT
```

76FVWORC Conference UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE: WORLD TRADE CENTER

21 MC 102 (AKH) LOWER MANHATTAN DISASTER

SITE LITIGATION

____X

New York, N.Y. June 15, 2007 1:05 p.m.

1

2

Before:

1

1223

3

HON. ALVIN K. HELLERSTEIN,

District Judge

APPEARANCES

WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN LLP Attorneys for Plaintiffs

WILLIAM H. GRONER MARC J. BERN WILLIAM J. DUBANEVICH

-ANDGREGORY J. CANNATA & ASSOCIATES
BY: GREGORY J. CANNATA

-AND-

ROBERT A. GROCHOW PC BY: ROBERT A. GROCHOW

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

76FVWORC

Conference APPEARANCES (cont'd.)

PATTON BOGGS LLP

Attorneys for Defendant City of New York JAMES E. TYRRELL, JR.

JOSEPH E. HOPKINS

FLEMMING ZUŁACK WILLIAMSON ZAUDERER LLP Attorneys for Defendant Silverstein Properties, Inc. BY: THOMAS A. EGAN

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Verizon New York
BY: LEE ANN STEVENSON

STERN TANNENBAUM & BELL LLP

Page 1

D

```
76FVWORC.TXT
                  worked out, Napoli Bern firm needs to perform a check-off complaint which is commensurate with the one that was finalized by liaison counsel for plaintiffs in the 102 docket.

MR. CANNATA: Your Honor, part of the difficulty that we have is is that the Court's order required the complaints to be filed before they were finalized. And that was part of the
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
                   difficulty.
                   However, I understand that there are no firemen and policemen plaintiffs in this docket. And if you recall the last conference, we addressed this issue and you ordered that
12
13
                  the 205(e) claims should be taken out. And that's what we did. And as far as I know, there aren't any --
THE COURT: So if they are still in, I guess they'll
14
15
16
17
18
                   be fixed up.
                   MR. CANNATA: It's not a problem, Judge. There are no plaintiffs that are claiming that basis for a suit.

THE COURT: Mr. Hopkins. Again, I don't have a basis to resolve that. There's a substantive point here. The point
19
 20
                   is that a fireman and a policeman are not allowed to sue the City, I guess, for damages where they can recover the analog to workmen's compensation. That's the point, isn't it?
 Žĺ
23
                                                 MR. CANNATA: No, Judge, that's incorrect. THE COURT: Incorrect.
 24
                                                                     SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                                                                                     (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 14
                    76FVWORC

Conference

MR. CANNATA: You're incorrect by that. A fireman and policeman can sue the City, but they have to allege a specific violation of law, a rule. But as far as I know, there are no plaintiffs -- they do have some. I'm being told they do have a couple. So, Judge, they have to straighten that out.

THE COURT: What's the situation?
    1234
    5
6
7
                    MR. DUBANEVICH: Your Honor, William J. Dubanevich.
We do have firefighters and police officers who are in the 102
classification. And that is why we had to allege violations of
    89
  10
                     the General Municipal Law.
                     THE COURT: So what do you want me to do, Mr. Hopkins?
MR. HOPKINS: Well, after all the Sturm und Drang that
we've had to get to this point to try to finalize pleadings, we
now have master complaint filed which does not include
  11
12
13
14
15
                     allegations regarding General Municipal Law.
                    allegations regarding General Municipal Law.

We have check-off complaints from Cannata and Grochow that don't make reference to that because it's not there. But now, and really, I think, for the first time we're hearing from the Napoli Worby Groner firm that they do have allegations to make under those provisions, and they are not in the master complaint. So their check-off complaint refers back to the master complaint that doesn't contain those types of claims.

THE COURT: So the first assertion of the claim is the check-off complaint, and presumably you're going to move to dismiss them because of the issue of limitations.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300
  16
17
18
19
  20
21
22
  23
24
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  15
                                                                                                       Conference
                      76FVWORC
                                                   MR. HOPKINS: Undoubtedly. And I'm sure other
                      substantive reasons, as well.

THE COURT: Like what? Just to give me a heads-up.

MR. HOPKINS: I've not looked at the check-off
complaints, so I can't speak to whatever the clients are. B
                      as to whether that is a proper vehicle for whoever these people -- these plaintiffs may be; whether they can rely upon
```

D

```
76FVWORC.TXT
                    this provision in the General Municipal Law to make such a claim or not. It goes beyond any type of statute-type issue.

THE COURT: I'm not familiar with the law. I don't
10
                   THE COURT: I'm not familiar with the law. I don't know what it is. But I'll take the statement from Mr. Cannata as expressing the law that if the City has violated a law that firemen and policemen can sue. You know, all this makes my head kind of swim. And I guess it begs the definition of what's involved in the 102 and later the 103 cases.

Forgetting about the technical definition of the World Trade Center, the way I looked at this is that 100 were the cases that were focused mainly against the City, because the Department of Design and Construction took the lead in the cleanup of the World Trade Center.

102 were cases where there were private or public
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
                                                    102 were cases where there were private or public
                     homeowners independent of the Department of Design and
                    Correction, to the Department of Design and Construction.

And 103 is where plaintiffs did some work under the jurisdiction of the DDC and other work under the jurisdiction
23
                                                                          SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   16
                     76FVWORC
                                                                                                             Conference
                     of private and public property owners. That's how I looked at it. I don't know if that's realistic or not.

MR. CANNATA: Your Honor, that's our position, as the Court just expressed. However, when you issued the order for
    2
 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
                     103, we believe you made an error, and you went to the earlier definition, the 100 definition, of what the world Trade Center
                      site was.
                                                     THE COURT: I did? MR. CANNATA: You inadvertently did that. And you did
                      that --
                     THE COURT: It was the only definition that was at hand. Let me just tell you this: I thought, and let me expose my thinking so that if it's wrong, I want to know it's wrong.

I thought that DDC was in control of the cleanup
                    operations with regard to all the property identified in that first order. And I think I took that definition from the Victims Compensation Fund. I don't remember exactly where I took it from, but I think I took it from there. And that may be the cause of the problem, I don't know. And I don't know what is feasible here.
 16
17
 18
19
20
21
22
                     MR. CANNATA: Well, the practical effect of it is, Judge, that by using the definition from 100 that you used that was made before 102 was created, you've essentially put almost all of the plaintiffs from 102 back into the 100 case and thus,
 23
24
                      the 103 case.
                                                                           SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     17
                       76FVWORC
                                                                                                               Conference
                                                       THE COURT: I didn't intend that.
                     THE COURT: I didn't intend that.

MR. CANNATA: I know you didn't intend it, Judge. But
I'm just telling you, for example, with our cases, I have our
45 or so plaintiffs, we would end up with about 35 of them into
103, and only a handful, eight or nine or ten, in 102. And I
don't think that's what the Court intended.

THE COURT: No, it's not what I intended. I also
raised the issue of the lead counsel issues with regard to 102
and 103. Because it was my conception that the 102 case had
involved lawsuits against others in the City through DDC. And
therefore, I thought it not particularly appropriate that the
same plaintiffs' lawyers are the same defendants' lawyers be
```

0

0

```
76FVWORC.TXT
             functioning on 102. Mr. Tyrrell.
MR. TYRRELL:
13
14
                                                             And I've never really resolved that issue.
             MR. TYRRELL: Your Honor, I'd like to jump an issue back, as we've moved ahead to the issue of whether there should
15
16
             be broader representation at the level of the liaison, not
lead, but liaison counsel. I'd like to speak to that later,
but I'd like to respond to Mr. Cannata's point.
17
18
19
20
21
             We have been operating for more than two years now with a particular definition that was born in the 100 docket
             that your Honor created as to what was the geographic area, the 16 acres covered by that docket. But it is not true when you created 102, you'll remember we objected to it at that time, we said, Don't bother breaking them up; keep them altogether.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300
22
23
24
25
                                                                                                                                                              18
              76FVWORC
                                                                       Conference
             we're over that. But when you created 102, you took the reciprocal of that definition; you said other things --
             THE COURT: That's right.

MR. TYRRELL: -- in that 16 acres.

THE COURT: That's right.

MR. TYRRELL: Now, that made great sense. Because whether the claim occurred in lower Manhattan or occurred, as
 3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
              Mr. Cannata says, here in this courthouse, and frankly, I think the only one in this courthouse who possibly got sick is your Honor for working too hard on the case. But that's a different
              kind of claim.
                                    THE COURT: I need a remedy.
              (Laughter)
MR. TYRRELL: You need a remedy. I think it's more
than over-the-counter, your Honor. If we go back now and
change, so I'm now focused exactly on that issue —
THE COURT: My wife said retire. I said the case will
 13
14
15
16
17
 18
19
20
               follow me.
                                    (Laughter)
               MR. TYRRELL: If we go there now, okay, we're going to ditch all of the definitions and organizational stuff that we
 21
22
23
              had. But then when you look at what Mr. Cannata proposes in his letter, which is something that is supposed to be better, and of course, you said nobody's substantive rights is going to be affected by this. This is just to help get it organized.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300
  24
                                                                                                                                                                19
                                                                        Conference
               76FVWORC
                                    His definition will turn on whether the City of New
  1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
               York is a defendant in each case. That makes no sense
               whatsoever.
                                    So in his definition, someone who worked on the pile
               and sued only Tully, it would no longer be a 100 case, because the City of New York would have to be in it, according to his
                proposed
                                    THE COURT: If someone worked on the pile and worked
                for Tully --
                                    MR. TYRRELL: And didn't sue New York, too, it
               wouldn't any longer be a 100 case.

THE COURT: Well, who would he sue?

MR. TYRRELL: I don't know. It's amiss.

MR. CANNATA: I didn't say that, Judge.

MR. TYRRELL: We don't need to change this.

MR. CANNATA: Judge, excuse me. I didn't say that in

my letter. I said outside on the mound.
   16
```

0

П

```
76FVWORC.TXT
            THE COURT: Stop. Stop. what's the justification of having a different collection of cases in 102 from what I have in 100? I'll answer it. It's because it's a different
19
20
21
22
             defendant.
            The City, except as an owner of property, is not involved. The violations alleged of the labor law were by the managers and owners of the specific properties. I mean, folks, that's what I had in mind.
23
24
25
                                             SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                                                   (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                                                                     20
            76FVWORC
                                                                  Conference
            MR. TYRRELL: And your Hono suggesting we change that.
THE COURT: The definition.
  1
2
3
                                                            And your Honor, at this point we're not
            MR. TYRRELL: It is Mr. Cannata who is suggesting --
THE COURT: My definition.
MR. TYRRELL: -- that your definition be changed. So
now if someone worked, and let's take the Deutsche Bank
  4 5
 6
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
            building as an example. It was under the control, we believe, of the DBS for the cleanup. It was completely -- it wasn't not
            banned, it was destroyed.
                                THE COURT: DBS?
                                MR. TYRRELL: I'm sorry, Department of Design and
            Construction.
                                THE COURT: I don't think sanitation was involved.
            MR. TYRRELL: Right. Now, that building was never reoccupied, okay. So as to that building, if you now took his definition and transferred that out because it was originally a
            private building, we would be creating yet more confusion.

THE COURT: I think DDC was involved with Deutsche
            Bank.
            MR. TYRRELL: That's why it should stay in 100.
THE COURT: That's why Verizon is in 100 also.
MR. TYRRELL: And you've already ruled on those applications as to Verizon, and I know Verizon's counsel wants to speak to that. But if you followed Mr. Cannata's suggestion SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
(212) 805-0300
24
25
                                                                                                                                                     21
             76FVWORC
                                                                  Conference
            now, all of the Verizon cases and the Verizon building would move to the 102 docket.

THE COURT: I've taken the position throughout that
            substantive rights should not be affected by how I categorize the case. Because I never really felt confident in my definitions. And I didn't want substantive rights to be affected by it.
  5
6
7
            It was my impression that Deutsche Bank, which is across the street from is it One or Two World Trade Center, was treated like it was part of the World Trade Center in terms of
10
             the cleanup operations. But the buildings on Rector Street, to draw an example, two blocks away, three blocks away, I don't remember exactly, should not be in the same category as the
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
             World Trade Center.
            Now, you ask what about the buildings in between, the church, for example, across the street, the other way to the World Financial Center. The World Financial Center is in the
18
             definition, I see. I don't know why. I guess DDC functioned
19
             there, so maybe that's why.
             MR. TYRRELL: One of the reasons is debris fell into those buildings, structural debris that was the same type
20
21
22
             that's being cleaned up on the ground fell over and went right
                                                                    Page 10
```

0

```
76FVWORC.TXT
23
24
                     into the Deutsche Bank building.
                    THE COURT: You know, I don't really care, except to the extent that the category is driving a different result from SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                                                                                             (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   22
                     76FVWORC
                                                                                                             Conference
                     that which we normally obtain. And I need to be alerted to that when it happens.
   2
   3
                                                    Why I challenge your leadership, Mr. Tyrrell, it's not
                    why I charlenge your leadership, Mr. Tyrrell, it's not because I don't admire your leadership. And I will tell you very candidly, although we've had our differences in these cases, one way that I've been able to administer the cases is because of the hard work that you and Mr. Hopkins and your other colleagues have put into this case. I'm indebted to you. And I have no doubt but that 102 and 103 will benefit from your
   4
   5
6
7
   8
10
                     leadership, as well
                   But what I'm bothered by is that as I look at these cases, DDC was not involved. And it should not be taken to task for providing masks or not providing masks, or giving education or not giving education. If that's the case, why are you involved? Why do you care, except as a friend of the Court. I'm glad to have your friendship, but there's a
11
12
13
15
16
17
                     conflict issue.
                    conflict issue.

MR. TYRRELL: I'll tell you why I care, and then I'll tell you why you shouldn't worry about it. Okay?

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. TYRRELL: First, I care because according to my colleagues over here, the City of New York in the 102 docket is currently in 65 to 95 actions. I am their counsel.

THE COURT: As owner?

MR. TYRRELL: We don't have the specific complaints SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300
18
19
20
21
22
 23
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   23
                     76FVWORC
                                                                                                             Conference
                    yet, but we assume so, yes. As either a tenant or an owner, yes, in 65 to 95 of those actions. And is in a whole bunch of actions that will be in that status in the 103 docket, as well.

THE COURT: By all means you have to be in the case,
   1
    2
    456789
                     but there have to be others.
                                                     MR. TYRRELL: We completely agree with that, let me
                     tell you the
                     THE COURT: No one is stepping up.

MR. TYRRELL: Well, that's the problem. But let me
tell you why you don't have to be concerned about the thing you
put in your order, which is the issue of conflict. Why?
Because when you serve as liaison counsel, the general law is
it isn't a conflict; your duty of loyalty still runs to your
client. You have a duty to the Court and everybody else to
10
11
 12
13
14
 15
                      serve as liaison --
                    THE COURT: I accept that, Mr. Tyrrell, as long as there are others in the group to protect different interests.

MR. TYRRELL: Couldn't agree with you more. But one last point, because I went and looked it up. You, very smartly, in the order you entered when you created liaison counsel, specifically said in CMO No. 2 way back when that there would be no conflicts by serving in that position.

So only the issue you have now addressed is the right issue, which is would some other people please step up so that there is a broad enough representation.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300
 16
 17
 18
 19
20
21
22
23
                                                                                                               (212) 805-0300
```

Ū

EXHIBIT H



The Legal Center One Riverfront Plaza 6th Floor Newark, NJ 07102 973-848-5600

973-848-5601 www.pationboggs.com

August 1, 2007

Via Electronic Mail

Hon. Alvin K. Hellerstein, U.S.D.J. United States District Court for the Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street, Room 910 New York, New York 10007

Re: Kirk Arsenault, et al. v. Tully Construction Co., Inc., Tully Industries Inc., and Deutsche Bank, Docket No. 04-cv-5338 (AKH)

Dear Judge Hellerstein:

Counsel for the parties in the above-captioned action hereby submit this joint letter to request the Court's determination of the defendants' request to transfer this action from the 21 MC 102 docket to the 21 MC 100 docket,

Defendants' Position:

Counsel for Tully Construction Co. Inc. and Tully Industries, Inc. ("Tully") respectfully requests the transfer of the above-captioned action (the "Arsenault action") from the 21 MC 102 docket to the 21 MC 100 docket. Co-defendant Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas ("Deutsche Bank") does not object to Tully's request under the circumstances presented here.

Plaintiffs' counsel Robin Wertheimer, Esq. represents three plaintiffs in actions allegedly arising out of those plaintiffs' work allegedly related to the rescue, recovery and debris removal efforts following the collapse of the World Trade Center ("WTC") on September 11, 2001. Those plaintiffs are Kirk Arsenault, Steven Zablocki and Richard Racioppi. The latest version of the Arsenault complaint (filed October 13, 2004) (currently Hon. Alvin K. Hellerstein, U.S.D.J. August 1, 2007 Page 2

pending in the 21 MC 102 docket) encompassed claims brought by two plaintiffs: Kirk Arsenault and Steven Zablocki. Mr. Zablocki's claims apparently were subsequently severed from the Arsenault action on December 26, 2006, when a separate individual complaint was filed in Mr. Zablocki's name under Civil Action No. 06-cv-15494 (the "Zablocki action"). The Zablocki action thereafter was docketed in 21 MC 100. Despite the apparent severance of Mr. Zablocki's claims from the Arsenault action, however, plaintiffs' counsel has not filed any amended pleading in the Arsenault action to reflect such severance. Furthermore, plaintiffs' counsel has failed to file a check-off complaint in the Arsenault action (notwithstanding the Court's prior order that check-off complaints for all actions in 21 MC 102 were to be filed by June 14, 2007).1

Plaintiffs' counsel Ms. Wertheimer previously wrote the Court to request the transfer of the Zablocki action from the 21 MC 100 docket to the 21 MC 102 docket. Defendants' counsel were never copied on plaintiffs' counsel's communication to the Court. Responding to plaintiffs' counsel's request, the Court ruled that the Zablocki action should "remain classified as related to 21 MC 100, and remain subject to the Second Circuit's stay." (April 13, 2007 Order Denying Motion to Transfer Dockets, Docket No. 06-cv-15494, 21 MC 100 (AKH)) (the "Zablocki Order"). Specifically, the Court indicated that plaintiff Zablocki's request to transfer his case from 21 MC 100 to 21 MC 102 was denied on the grounds that his complaint alleged that he worked at the Deutsche Bank building at 130 Liberty Street which is "considered part of the World Trade Center site, as defined in Case Management Order No. 3, 21 MC 100 (Feb. 7, 2005)."² The Court also denied plaintiff Zablocki's transfer request

The action of plaintiff Richard Racioppi, captioned Richard Racioppi v. Tully Construction Co., Inc., Tully Industries Inc., and Deutsche Bank, Index No. 112407/04 (latest complaint dated October 13, 2004), is not the subject of this joint letter as plaintiffs' counsel has indicated that the Racioppi action shortly will be voluntarily dismissed or withdrawn. If that understanding is incorrect in any way, then Tully respectfully requests, without objection from Deutsche Bank, that the Racioppi action also be transferred from the 21 MC 102 docket to the 21 MC 100 docket for the reasons set forth herein. Although the Racioppi action (like the Arsenault action) currently is docketed in 21 MC 102, no check-off complaint has been filed in the Racioppi action.

Deutsche Bank does not concede that the definition of the World Trade Center site in CMO 3 is necessarily dispositive of Tully's request. In Deutsche Bank's view, it is the nature of a given plaintiff's work, not just the location, that should dictate whether the case is designated to 21 MC 100 or 21 MC 102. However, the parties need not debate that issue in the present application because the type of work performed by Arsenault, Zablocki, and Racioppi, on behalf of a City contractor, militates in favor of their designation to 21 MC 100.

Page 20 of 26

Hon. Alvin K. Hellerstein, U.S.D.J. August 1, 2007 Page 3

because defendant Tully is one of the contractors of the City of New York involved in the 21 MC 100 docket and is one of the contractors involved in the interlocutory appeal to the Second Circuit which resulted in a stay of all 21 MC 100 proceedings. See Zablocki Order.

Document 26-4

Just like the Zablocki action, the Arsenault action belongs in the 21 MC 100 docket and should be transferred there and stayed. The allegations of plaintiff Arsenault are identical to those of plaintiff Zablocki. Compare Kirk Arsenault et. al. v. Tully Construction Co., Tully Industries Inc., and Deutsche Bank, Index No. 04 CV 5338 at ¶ 11, 14 ("Arsenault Complaint") with Steve Zablocki v. Tully Construction Co., Inc., Tully Industries Inc., and Deutsche Bank, Index No. 06-CV-15494 at ¶ 11, 14 ("Zablocki Complaint").3 Plaintiffs' counsel has indicated that plaintiffs Arsenault and Zablocki worked side-by-side in the Deutsche Bank building. 4 Both plaintiffs have brought suit against Tully and Deutsche Bank for the WTC-related work in which they allegedly were involved. Compare Arsenault Complaint at ¶ 4, 6, 40 with Zablocki Complaint at ¶ 4, 6, 45. And finally, both plaintiffs⁶ Arsenault and Racioppi allege that they were employed by Manfort Brothers Inc., a subcontractor of Tully, and worked doing debris removal and cleanup work in the Deutsche Bank building. Compare Arsenault Complaint at ¶ 11 with Zablocki Complaint at ¶ 11. Manfort Brothers, Inc. conducted this work as a subcontractor to Tully who was working as one of the City of New York's contractors in the rescue, recovery and debris removal effort.

Given the identical nature of the Arsenault and Zablocki claims and for the reasons already articulated by the Court in the Zablocki Order, Tully respectfully requests that the Arsenault action be transferred from the 21 MC 102 docket to the 21 MC 100 docket. Should the Court require that a formal motion be filed seeking this result, Tully respectfully requests the opportunity to promptly file such motion upon request of the Court. Although Tully is protected by a current stay from the Second Circuit, we respectfully request that the time to respond to the Master Complaint be extended with respect to Defendants during the pendency

And the allegations of plaintiff Racioppi are the same. See, e.g., Racioppi Complaint at ¶¶ 13, 16.

With plaintiff Racioppi. See id. at ¶ 16.

⁵ And Racioppi. See id. at ¶ 7.

⁶ And Racioppi. See id. at ¶ 13.

Page 21 of 26

Hon. Alvin K. Hellerstein, U.S.D.J. August 1, 2007 Page 4

of this letter request to avoid prejudice should the Court disagree with respect to the relief requested herein.

Plaintiffs' Position:

Plaintiff Kirk Arsenault objects to the proposed transfer of his case from its present docket. The defendants' proposal is highly prejudicial and, under its own reasoning. entirely unfounded.

Plaintiffs Arsenault and Zablocki, working side by side, were employed by Manfort Brothers, a sub contractor of Tully, to dismantle the Deutsche Bank building at 130 Liberty Street in the aftermath of September 11. These men were employed in the fairly unique job of "burners" or, burning the steel of the building in such a way as to control its demolition. In the course of this employment, they were exposed to molds and other toxins which have caused them serious injuries. Their employ commenced on or about October 15, 2001.

In 2004, Arsenault and Zablocki brought one complaint under, inter alia, the labor law. It was filed in the Southern District on diversity grounds because these men reside in Massachusetts. As the docket illustrates, it was moved from Judge Kram, to Judge Cedarbaum to Judge Castel, then a pretrial conference was held before Judge Casey before it went to Judge Daniels, and it finally landed, despite plaintiffs' objections, with the World Trade Center cases. However, were it to be designated a World Trade Center case, it was more properly designated as an off-site case, in 21 MC 102.

The reasoning given by the defendants in this letter do not justify a reassignment of this case to the stayed docket. These plaintiffs' type of work does not in any way "militate on favor of their designation to the 21 MC 100 docket". The type of work that they did was not in any way "related to debris removal and clean up work". These quotes are from the defendants' portion of this letter in support of its reasoning. Should the type of work they did be a motivating factor in the reclassification, then defendants' argument must fail because, as mentioned above, their work was to dismantle a building more than a month after the disaster. (As we all know, that job was not completed; the building still stands.)

Plaintiff Zablocki was severed from the joint initial complaint pursuant to the Court's Order that all plaintiffs file separate complaints. Prior to his new complaint being filed, Zablocki had been docketed with Arsenault in 21 MC 102. In severing his complaint from Arsenault's, Zablocki ended up in the 21 MC 100 docket. At a 21 MC 102 conference before Judge Hellerstein, the undersigned requested the Court's guidance as to how to reunite these two plaintiffs in the 21 MC 102 docket. The instruction was to write to Judge Hellerstein and

Page 22 of 26

Hon. Alvin K. Hellerstein, U.S.D.J. August 1, 2007 Page 5

request that he direct the clerk of court to move Zablocki into the 21 MC 102 docket. Two such requests on behalf of Zablocki were denied.

Plaintiff Arsenault did file a check off complaint in the 21 MC 102 docket in a timely manner. Arsenault has been in the off site docket since its inception. It only makes sense that a worker who worked after October 15, 2001 in a job that entailed strictly demolition be in the 21 MC 102 docket. Defendants have waited until now to try to move this case to the stayed docket because of the error that was promulgated with the Zablocki case after his complaint was separately filed. Defendants have had years to request this transfer, but never did because there was no substance to this request prior to the severance and reassignment of the Zablocki matter.

Given the fact that there is a possibility of the stayed 21 MC 100 cases being dismissed by the Second Circuit Court of Appeals, the prejudice to this plaintiff is immeasurable. As the defendants' portion of this letter suggests, the designations of these dockets are not immutable. In this letter, Tully does not agree with Deutsche Bank as to the definition of the World Trade Center site. But they both agree that the nature of the work has some weight. These defendants then proceed to mischaracterize the nature of the work performed by these plaintiffs as clean up and debris removal. Should this be a deciding factor. then it clearly does not support moving this plaintiff into a docket with such workers and their request must be denied. Furthermore, it seems highly prejudicial to move a plaintiff into a stayed docket at such a late date when the case has not been previously classified as such nor has this plaintiff been given the opportunity to make timely objections on his own behalf (with all respect to, and admiration for, the papers filed on behalf of the plaintiffs in the 21 MC 100 docket).

Hon. Alvin K. Hellerstein, U.S.D.J. August 1, 2007 Page 6

The request of the defendants to move Kirk Arsenault's case from its present place in the 21 MC 102 docket into the 21 MC 100 docket should be in all respects denied and as the request is based mostly on the reassignment of the Zablocki action, the Court is asked to reconsider its placement of the Zablocki action.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark Weber, Esq. MOUND COTTON WOLLAN & GREENGRASS Counsel for Tully Construction Co. Inc., Tully Industries, Inc.

James E. Tyrrell, Jr., Esq. PATTON BOGGS LLP Counsel for Tully Construction Co. Inc., Tully Industries, Inc.

Marc D. Crowley, Esq. DAY PITNEY LLP Counsel for Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas

Robin S. Wertheimer WERTHEIMER ASSOCIATES, P.C. Counsel for Plaintiff Kirk Arsenault

EXHIBIT I

21 MC 102 In Re World Trade Center Lower Manhattan Disaster Site Litigation

| 07cv1552 07cv4445 07cv4446 07cv1554 05cv9821 05cv10135 07cv1556 04cv5338 07cv1460 07cv1562 07cv5336 |
|---|
| 07cv4446 07cv1554 05cv9821 05cv10135 07cv1556 04cv5338 07cv1460 07cv1562 |
| 07cv1554 05cv9821 05cv10135 07cv1556 04cv5338 07cv1460 07cv1562 |
| 05cv9821 05cv10135 07cv1556 04cv5338 07cv1460 07cv1562 |
| 05cv10135 07cv1556 04cv5338 07cv1460 07cv1562 |
| 07cv1556 04cv5338 07cv1460 07cv1562 |
| 04cv5338 07cv1460 07cv1562 |
| 07cv1460 07cv1562 |
| 07cv1562 |
| |
| 07cv5336 |
| * * * * * |
| 07cv5550 |
| 07cv4453 |
| 07cv1473 |
| 06cv11968 |
| 07cv1575 |
| 07cv1578 |
| 07cv5280 |
| |
| 07cv4459 |
| 07cv1580 |
| 05cv1718 |
| 07cv1589 |
| 06cv5631 |
| 07cv5394 |
| 07cv317 |
| 07cv4462 |
| 07cv1602 |
| 07cv5353 |
| 06cv14620 |
| 07cv1603 |
| 07cvl606 |
| 07cvl607 |
| 07cv4466 |
| 07cv1612 |
| 07cv5290 |
| 07cv1617 |
| 05cv10739 |
| 07cv1619 |
| 06cv11676 |
| 07cv5554 |
| 07cv4472 |
| 07cv5556 |
| 07cv4473 |
| 07cv5295 |
| 07cv1628 |
| 07cv1627 |
| 07cv1629 |
| |
| 07cv1630 06cv14746 |
| |

| Plaintiff | Case Number |
|---|-------------|
| Marian Karus and Barbara Karus | 07cv1635 |
| Edward Kosowski | 07cv5299 |
| Ana Lascano | 05cv9333 |
| Andrzej Lasica and Ewelina Lasica | 07cv4480 |
| Carlos Lenis and Lucia Lenis | 06cv10045 |
| Ines Leon and Luis E. Quezada | 07cv4481 |
| Cesar Leon and Agnes Dipini | 07cv63 |
| Wilmo Loja | 07cv4482 |
| Fernando Lucero | 07cv5366 |
| Rosa Medina | 07cv4491 |
| Maria E. Melendez | 07cv5397 |
| Juan Mendez and Kareen Mendez | 07cv1664 |
| Carlos Merchan and Martha Merchan | 07cv1665 |
| Eugenic Mora and Olga Mora | 06cv13168 |
| Tatiana Morales and James Fink | 07cv5370 |
| Sandra Moreno | 07cv1670 |
| Luis Naranjo and Rosa Naranjo | 05cv10738 |
| Walter Naranjo and Miriam Naranjo | 07cv4496 |
| Oscar Negrete | 07cv5371 |
| Sean O'Connell and Carole O'Connell | 07cv5374 |
| Rosa Palaguachi and Rigoberto | 07cvl680 |
| David Reynolds and Katherine Reynolds | 07cv3446 |
| Marcel Rhoden and Deirdre L. Worley | 07cv5311 |
| Maximo Riera and Fanny Riera | 07cvl519 |
| Patricio Rodas and Taina Ruiz | 07cv1694 |
| Jaime Rojas and Johanna Hernandez | 07cv451I |
| Mieczyslaw Romaniuk | 07cv5316 |
| Victor Salazar | 07cv4512 |
| Rosa Sanchez and Hector Albarracih | 06cv12488 |
| Edilberto Sanchez | 07cv5384 |
| Emanuel Santamaria | 07cv1528 |
| Gala Sarmiento | 07cv1529 |
| Edwin Sarmiento and Nora Sarmiento | 07cv4515 |
| Teresa Serrano | 05cv8937 |
| | 07cv1533 |
| Raul Siguencia | |
| Felipe Suarez | 07cv1707 |
| Samuel Sumba and Mercedes Sumba | 07cv1710 |
| Pedro Tamayo and Gabriella Tamayo | 07cv1538 |
| Nicholas Teham | 07cv5564 |
| Julia Tenezaca | 07cv1714 |
| Cesareo Teran | 07cv5389 |
| Jeanne Thorpe | 07cv1715 |
| Carlos A. Valencia and Gloria N. Bonilla | 07cv5324 |
| Rommel Vasquez and Rosa A. Gomez | 07cvl543 |
| Kattia Vazquez and Peter Vazquez | 07cvl722 |
| Severo Vega and Lila Gonzalez | 07cv4521 |
| Segundo Villarroel and Martha L. Villarroel | 07cv1546 |
| Kleber Villarruel | 06cvl3703 |
| Kevin Walsh | 06cv12608 |
| Robert Waniurski and Ewa Waniurski | 07cv4524 |
| Ancil Watson | 07cv5391 |
| Clarence Wragg and Cecilia Wragg | 06cv8125 |